UT DALLAS

Transforming Provenance using Redaction

Tyrone Cadenhead, Vaibhav Khadilkar, **Murat Kantarcioglu** and Bhavani Thuraisingham

The University of Texas at Dallas

ACM Symposium on Access Control Models and Technologies (SACMAT)

June 15-17, 2011



What is Provenance ?

- Provenance records the history of a document
 - E..g., is the lineage or pedigree of a resource
- Metadata about the origin and history of a piece of item
 - annotations about data items
 - account of the history affecting data items
 - Takes the form of directed graph
 - Captures the causality among documents
- Provenance determines the trustworthiness of shared information.



Why provenance is important?

- Provenance is essential for various domains
- Utility of the information shared in these domains relies on
 - quality of the information and
 - mechanisms that verify the correctness of the data
- Potential Application:
 - In healthcare: tracks the activities of healthcare professionals, regulatory compliance
 - In E-science: replicates experiments and verify the steps and the results
 - In business: provides an audit trail, which can be used for accountability
 - In intelligence: verifies the sources of information
 - *In courts*: provides trace and evidence
 - Data quality: estimates data reliability and trustworthiness



Why Redaction is needed?

• Health care:

- Electronic patient record log of all activities
 - e.g., patient visits to a hospital, diagnoses and treatments for diseases, and processes performed by healthcare professionals on a patient
- Shared among several stakeholders
 - e.g., researchers, insurance and pharmaceutical companies
- Ease of information sharing presents a risk of information misuse
- One possible answer: Redaction
 - Circumvent the sensitive from released information



What is Redaction?

- Redaction policies
 - completely or partially remove sensitive attributes of the information being shared
- Commercially available redaction tools
 - block out (or delete) the sensitive parts of documents available as text and images
- But current tools only apply over single resources
 - Not to provenance
 - Not to directed graphs



Provenance Graph

- Features: ۲
 - Directed edges indicating that an event happened before another event
 - Causal dependencies between the node entities
 - Edges start at a node called *the effect* and points to another node called *the cause* of the event

- Acyclic, indicating that history is non-cyclic and immutable

- It can be build using RDF ٠
 - RDF triple (s, p, o)

- represented graphically as $s \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} o$
- s is causally dependent on o
- s as the effect and o as the cause of s



Example: OPM

- The OPM model identifies three categories of entities
 - artifacts, processes and agents
- Abstract vocabulary describe relationships between the entities *RDF Triples (examples):* <opm:Process> <opm:WasControlledBy> <opm:Agent> <opm:Process> <opm:Used> <opm:Artifact> <opm:Artifact> <opm:WasDerivedFrom> <opm:Artifact> <opm:Artifact> <opm:WasGeneratedBy> <opm:Process>
- Let V ={WasControlledBy, Used, WasDerivedFrom, WasGeneratedBy, WasTriggeredBy}

Path (<s₁> (P) <o_n>)

- Define **P** over **V** using regular expressions
- (x, [p]*, y) and (x,[p]+, y)



Provenance Graph (in healthcare domain)





Graph Grammar

- **Goal:** Transforms an original graph to one that meets the requirements of a set of redaction policies
- **Approach:** Use graph grammar (or a graph rewriting system)
- Two steps to apply redaction policies over general directed labeled graphs:
 - Identify a resource in the graph that we want to protect. This can be done with a graph query (i.e. a query equipped with regular expressions).
 - Gq ← q(G), a query q over provenance graph G produces a subgraph, Gq, in response to the query.
 - Apply a redaction policy to this identified resource in the form of a graph transformation rule.
 - $Gr \leftarrow P(G)$, where P is written in a rule language.



Graph Rewriting System

- Redaction policies are used to protect sensitive information in resources
- Formulate policies in our graph grammar system as production rules
 - In order to identify and remove any sensitive (e.g. proprietary, legal, competitive) content in these resources.
 - Policies are a formal specification of the information that must not be shared.
- Production rules are one of the following graph operations:
 - a vertex contraction, or an edge contraction, or a path contraction or a node relabeling operation.



Graph Rewriting System

- A graph rewriting system is a three tuple, $(G\ell, q, P)$
 - **G** ℓ is a labeled directed graph
 - q is a request on $G\ell$ that returns a subgraph Gq
 - *P* is a policy set
- For every policy p = (r, e) in P, r = (se, re) is a production rule
 - e is an embedding instruction
- A production rule, $r : L \rightarrow R$ where L is a subgraph of Gq and R is a graph
 - We also refer to L as the left hand side (LHS) of the rule and R as the right hand side (RHS) of the rule
- During a rule manipulation, L is replaced by R and we embed R into Gq L
- Embedding Information, e:
 - This specifies how to connect R to Gq L
 - Gives special post-processing instructions for graph nodes and edges on the RHS of a graph production rule



Operations on Provenance

- Basis for operations: Edge contraction
- Edge contraction serve as the basis for defining vertex contraction and path contraction:
 - Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph containing an edge e = (u, v) with v = u. Let f be a function which maps every vertex in $V \setminus \{u, v\}$ to itself, and otherwise maps it to a new vertex w.
 - The contraction of e results in a new graph G' = (V', E'), where $V' = (V \setminus \{u, v\})$ $\cup \{w\}$, $E' = (E \setminus \{e\})$, and for every $x \in V$, $x' = f(x) \in V$ is incident to an edge $e' \in E'$ if and only if the corresponding edge, $e \in E$ is incident to x in G.
- Vertex contraction: replace two arbitrary vertices *u*, *v* and an edge drawn between them with a new vertex *w*.
- Path contraction: each edge is processed in turn until we reach the last edge on the path.
- Edge contraction may be performed on a set of edges in any order.
- Contractions may result in a graph with loops or multiple edges. In order to maintain the definition of a provenance we delete these edges.



Contraction Example



 This vertex contraction could show for example how a third party is prevented from knowing the identities of agents (i.e., surgeon) who controlled the processes (i.e., a heart surgery and a logging of results of a surgery into a patient's record).





Path Contraction

- This occurs upon a set of edges in a path that contract to form a single edge between the end points of the path.
- Edges incident to vertices along the path are either eliminated, or arbitrarily connected to one of the endpoints.
- Example: A path contraction is necessary when we want to prevent the release of the history of patient 1 prior to surgery as well as the details of the surgery procedure.



Node Relabeling

- A node relabeling operation replaces a label in a node with another label.
- This is generally a production rule whose LHS is a node in Gq and whose RHS is also a node normally with a new label.
- Our example nodes have generic labels but in practice each entity would be annotated with contextual information.
 - This information serves as identifiers for the respective entity.
- Before sharing information about these entities it is imperative that we remove sensitive identifiers from them.
 - For example, a physician's cell phone number and social security number are considered unique identifiers and these should be redacted whenever this physician's identity is sensitive.



Graph Transformation Step





Utility Aware Redaction

- Since rules could be applied in any order, heuristics need for ordering
- We choose three conventions for pre-ordering the production rules:
 - the original ordering (OO);
 - lowest to highest utility (LHO);
 - highest to lowest utility (*HLO*).
- We believe that provenance is more useful when it is least altered, therefore define utility as:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\text{altered triples}}{\text{original triples in Gq}}\right) \times 100$$



Policy Language

A Proposed Policy Specification

```
<policy ID="1" >
   <lhs>
        start=Doc1_4
        chain=[WasDerivedFrom] + artifact AND
        artifact [WasGeneratedBy] process AND
        process [WasControlledBy] physician|surgeon.
        start=RepeatVisit1_1
        chain=[Used][WasControlledBy].
        start=Checkup1_1
        chain=[Used][WasControlledBy].
  </lhs>
  <rhs>_:A1</rhs>
  <condition>
   <application>null</application>
   <attribute>null</attribute>
  </condition>
  <embedding>
   null
   <post>(HeartSurgery_1_1,Used, _:A1)</post>
  </embedding>
</policy>
```



Policy Language

- Ihs element describes the left hand side of a rule.
- rhs element describes the right hand side of a rule.
- starting entity
 - Each path in the lhs and rhs begins at a starting point.
- condition element has two optional sub elements,
 - the application defines the conditions that must hold for rule application to proceed,
 - the attribute element describes the annotations in *LHS*.
- Embedding element has two optional sub elements,
 - pre describes how LH S is connected to the provenance graph
 - post describes how RH S is connected to the provenance graph.



Policy Translation

- Translate Policy Specification
 - Executed over a preferred Data format
 - Format represent and store provenance
 - Parsed into a query over the provenance graph
 - Query support for regular expressions
- A Semantic Approach:
 - RDF data model
 - Support Graph Structure
 - SPARQL
 - Query the RDF graph
 - Support Graph Querying
 - SPARQL + Regular Expressions



Experiments

- Implement prototype using the following open sources technologies:
 - Jena
 - XML 1.0
 - *Java 1.*6
 - Gleen regular expression library
 - The OPM toolbox
- Experiments are executed on an IBM workstation with 8 X 2.5GHz processors and 32GB RAM





Experiments



Figure 5: Comparison of Redaction Time and Utility vs. Graph Size





Experiments



Figure 6: Experimental Comparison of Complexity



Conclusions

- We proposed the first automated redaction policy tools for provenance
- Many issues need to be addressed further
 - Privacy
 - Inference
 - Usability

