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Abstract—Cloud computing, while becoming more and more popular as a dominant computing platform, introduces new security challenges. When virtual machines are deployed in a cloud environment, virtual machine placement strategies can significantly affect the overall security risks of the entire cloud. In recent years, the attacks are specifically designed to co-locate with target virtual machines in the cloud. The virtual machine placement without considering the security risks may put the users, or even the entire cloud, in danger. In this article, we present a fine-grained model to quantify the risk level caused by co-residency. Using a large scale dataset collected from Microsoft Azure Platform, we profile the behavior patterns of normal service subscribers (tenants) using our proposed feature metrics. Tenants are clustered into multiple categories. After the baseline is established based on the normal behavior pattern, the derivation can be evaluated for each category and the high-risk group can be labeled accordingly. With the labeled datasets, a classification component and a quantification component are constructed to dynamically quantify the co-residency risks for a specific virtual machine. Our experimental results demonstrate the robustness of our model to the new data and the accuracy is verified by examination of F-score Matrix.
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1 INTRODUCTION

For a cloud computing system, one of the most critical and fundamental components is the Virtual Machine (VM). Multi-tenant deployment of VMs significantly improves cloud resources utilization, which would benefit the cloud service provider. On the other hand, sharing resource among clients makes the cost of service more affordable and minimizes the maintenance overhead of the computing resources. Along with these advantages, cloud computing acts as more and more important roles in our daily life, and also attracts more attention from attackers. Since VMs could be exposed to various types of security threats, lots of research efforts [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] have been made into this particular field.

The logical isolation between VMs deployed on the same server is provided by virtualization techniques [8]. Under the control of a hypervisor, one VM can only access its own assigned partition of hardware resources, such as memory space or storage blocks. In the ideal situation, one VM should never be compromised by another VM on the same physical computer. However, in the real world, many stealthy attacks can happen. For example, cache utilization can determine the execution time of cache read operations [9]. As the result, various types of side channels between attacker’s VMs and victim’s VMs could be built and sensitive information could be extracted [1], [9], [10], [11], which are called co-resident attacks. One of the most influential co-resident attacks is to extract private keys [2] through a side-channel. Construct a useful side-channel needs to overcome challenges to handle processor core migration, numerous sources of channel noise, and the preemption of the victim with sufficient frequency to extract fine-grained information from it. The authors [2] demonstrated the attack in a lab setting by extracting an ElGamal decryption key from a victim using the most recent version of the libgcrypt (v1.5.0) cryptographic library [12].

To address the problem, a lot of research have been done to defend against co-residency attack. Most of them [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18] focused on preventing the construction of side channels. One major requirement of those methods is that substantial changes to the existing system are needed, which can be very expensive to a cloud provider. Other researchers investigated the problem from different perspectives. Sundarewaran, et al. [19] proposed a defense mechanism to identify anomalies in CPU and RAM utilization, or cache miss rate. To improve the overall security level of the cloud system, we proposed a four-dimensional security evaluation model to cover possible attack paths in a cloud [20]. In this work, the co-resident risk is taken into consideration and a coarse-grained method was proposed to quantify the co-residency risk through individual VMs, although the effectiveness of our model was not verified via real world case. Another major issue is we believe the co-residency risk factor should be determined by the tenants, instead of individual VM. If a tenant is identified as an attacker, all of his owned VMs should be considered with high risk level. To better address this issue, Yi Han et al. [21] proposed a mechanism to identify the co-resident attacker’s
behaviors in the absence of any defense, and proposed the
semi-supervised learning algorithm, Deterministic Anneal-
ing Semisupervised SVM (DAS3VM) [6], to classify normal
users and high risk users in the cloud [22]. Followed with
his lead, multiple works were done [7], [23], [24] based on
Game Theory Model. However, the effectiveness of game
was determined by the accuracy of the classification of
attackers. In their experiment, the clustering was either
done with a simulation or small size dataset, which had a
very limited chance to contain real attackers.

Compared with previous work [6], [21], [22], we are tak-
ing challenge of dealing with real-world datasets of clouds
in this paper. To better understand and predict workloads
for improved resource management of large cloud plat-
forms, Microsoft construct an open VM trace dataset
source, which contained over 25,000 service subscriber
accounts with 4.6 million VMs and 3.1 billion utilization
reading records [25]. A. George et al. [26] provided
another four new traces from two private and two high-
performance computing clusters to conquer the over-fit
issue with original dataset when evaluating the generality
of new research. The large-scale dataset is widely used
in the workload related research field [27], [28] and we
believe that it also provides a great opportunity to profile
normal service subscriber’s behaviors, which could sepa-
rate attacker out. One major improvement in our current
work (classification module) is that our work is based on
above large-scale real-world datasets. In our previous
works [20], [29], we proposed a set of coarse-grained met-
rics to quantify the risk level of the entire Cloud. Co-resi-
dence risk acts as the most important portion of risk
estimate in our proposed metrics. Due to the limitation of
simulated data used, we calculate the co-resident risk level
based on the vulnerabilities of each VM, which is insuffi-
cient for more complicate situations. The introducing
of Azure dataset leads us to a better mechanism (deep learn-
ing method) to evaluate the co-residency risk on tenant
level, instead of individual VM. Based on this new mecha-
nism, we evaluated these new virtual machine datasets to
quantify the security risks of the entire cloud system. The
unique contributions of our work are as follows.

- Based on a large scale real-world dataset, we profile
the behavior patterns of normal service subscribers
(tenants).
- We propose an effective feature metrics abstracted
from real-world dataset, which are used to profile
the behavior of tenants more accurately.
- We propose an innovative framework to dynami-
cally quantify the co-residency risk level for a spe-
cific tenant and his VMs.
- Based on our experimental results using F-score
Matrix [30], our model demonstrates the robustness
to new seen datasets.

One major challenge we conquered is how to handle the
extremely imbalanced large-scale dataset, which contained
huge amount of traces from normal tenants, but very tiny
amount of traces from attacks.

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2,
we discuss the related work. Section 3 provides an overview
of our proposed approach. Section 4 describes the design
details. Evaluation results are discussed in Section 5, and
Section 6 summarizes our work.

2 RELATED WORK

Logical isolation between VMs deployed into the same
server was provided by Virtualization techniques [8]. Under
the control of hypervisor, one VM could only access to its
own assigned partition of hardware resource, such as com-
puting power or data storage. One VM should never be
affected or compromised by another VM’s behavior. How-
ever, in real world, many stealthy attack could happen. For
example, cache utilization rate would determine the execu-
tion time of cache read operations [9]. As a result, various
types of side channels between attacker’s VMs and victim’s
VMs could be built and sensitive information could be
extracted [1], [9], [10], [11], which also was called as co-resi-
dent attack [30]. There is another threat generated by this
risk type is VM image manipulation [31], where the victim’s
VM image could be used by attack to create some new VMs
for attacking.

Resource sharing in cloud computing raises a threat of
Cache-Based Side Channel Attack (CSCA). It is proposed to
detect and prevent guest virtual machines from CSCA.
Cache miss patterns were analyzed in this solution to detect
side channel attack. CSCA is divided into two types and
those are time-driven cache attacks and trace-driven cache
attacks. It is based on a cloud setting with two VMs installed
on the same physical machine using a bare-metal hypervi-
sor sharing a highest-level cache. One of the most influen-
tial one is to extract private keys [2]. Author successfully con-
structed such a side-channel requires overcoming chal-
enges including core migration, numerous sources of
channel noise, and the difficulty of preempting the victim
with sufficient frequency to extract fine-grained information
from it, and demonstrates the attack in a lab setting by
extracting an ElGamal decryption key from a victim using
the most recent version of the libgcrypt cryptographic
library. Since the Last Lever Cache was used as the side
channel for attack, Yinqian Zhang et al. [23] describe a
Home Alone system that makes tenant verification of exclu-
sive utilization of VMs over physical machine. The primary
concept behind Home Alone is to reverse the essential
application of side channels. Indeed of making the best
advantage of side channel to be vector of any attack, Home
Alone utilizes a side-channel (in Last Level Cache) as a new
protective detection tool. By examining the utilization of
cache while the period in which friendly VMs collaborate to
eliminate segments of cache, the tenant with home Alone
can identify co-resident activity of foe VM.

To defend this particular type attack, lots of other
research effort was devoted into different directions. One of
them is to enforce the isolation of virtual resources. Khalid
Bijon et al. [32] proposed a formal Trusted Virtual Datacen-
ter (TVD) management model to manage strong isolation
among virtual resources and also develop an authorization
model for the cloud administrative-user privilege manage-
ment in the system. In their following works [33], [34], they
presented attribute-based constraints specification and
enforcement as a mechanism to mitigate such co-resident
risks. Conflicting attribute values are specified by the tenant
or by the cloud IaaS system as appropriate. Based on conflict specifications, author developed a conflict-free virtual machine scheduling framework. Our proposed framework is also inspired by their work and focused on the scheduling strategy of deployment of Virtual Machines.

Another approach is to prevent the construction of a specific side channel. Aviram et al. [13], C. Vattikonda et al. [15] and J. Wu [16] focus on eliminating the timing channels. Cache-based channel is another major defense target. J. Shi [4], T. Kim [17] and Y. Zhang [18] worked on mitigate cache-based side channel attack to better protect cloud system. As we discussed, these defense mechanisms are limited by their specific target and are not universal solution. Another major common requirement of these methods is that substantial changes to the existing system are needed, which can be very expensive to the cloud provider or very difficult to utilize these defenses.

Some of existing works focused on the analysis of the potential data traffic information. Adam Bates et al. [35] depicts about Co-resident watermarking, traffic analysis attack, which facilitates dangerous co-resident VM to introduce watermark signature over the internet flow of target instance. The final examination illustrates that there is a careful hardware design to be utilized in the cloud environment. Similarly, there has been many techniques developed for the security of cloud computing. Several security metrics were proposed to better protect the cloud. Taous Madi et al. [36] propose a quantitative model and a set of multi-level distance metrics for multi-tenancy in the cloud at different layers. Followed by their lead, N. Alhebaishi et al. [37] model both cross-layer and co-residency attacks on the NFV stack and mitigate such threats through optimizing the virtual machine (VM) placement with respect to given constraints.

Another interesting direction is based on game theory. Yi Han et al. [21] proposed a mechanism to identify the co-resident attacker’s behaviors in the absence of any defense. Overall speaking, the attacker has to start a much larger number of VMs than the normal user to achieve the co-residency with their target. Based on the assumption, they used the semi-supervised learning algorithm, Deterministic Annealing SemiSupervised SVM (DASSVM) [6], to classify normal users and high risk users in the cloud. In their subsequent work [22], they proposed a semi-supervised learning based defense strategy to increase the attack cost. Followed with his lead, multiple works were done [7], [23], [24] based on Game Theory Model. C. zhang et al. [38] proposed an information Model to increase user’s value and improve user experience by reducing job failures. In those works, the two-player security game will be utilized. However, the effectiveness of the game was determined by the accuracy of the classification of attackers. In their experiment, the clustering work either done with simulation or a small size dataset, which had a very limited chance to contain real attackers. To conquer this weakness, our proposed framework is based on real-world large scale dataset, and it also could dynamically adapt to keep-evolving environment.

Our previous work [39] proposed a VM placement scheme based on security risk of each VM, and Yuchi and Shetley [40] extended it to the VM placement initialization. Both of them mainly focused on the dependency relations. Yuchi and Shetley’s method also oversimplified the problem and did not reflect the potential risk caused by co-resident VMs, whose importance was discussed in [41], [42]. In [42], the author studied the characteristics of different PaaS cloud and the co-resident threat in placement policies. They implemented a memory-bus based covert-channel detection for co-residence and presented an efficient launch strategy. Their experiment concluded the risk caused by co-residency was real in popular PaaS clouds. Previously, we have investigated to periodically migrate VMs based on the game theory, making it much harder for the adversaries to locate the target VMs in terms of survival capability measurement [43]. But we did not consider the risk caused by the co-resident VMs in the same physical machine.

3 Overview of Our Approach

In our previous work, we proposed a set of coarse-grained metrics to quantify the risk level of the entire Cloud. The co-resident risk level is based on the vulnerabilities of each VM, which is insufficient for more complex situations. To solve the problem, we develop a fine-grained model to better quantify the co-resident risk based on service subscribers(tenants). In our new design, we consider large scale dataset for real world cloud risk estimate. Furthermore, we design our framework in the way adapting to the dynamic changing environment.

3.1 Threat Model and Security Assumptions

To accomplish the co-resident attack, attackers have to make effort to deploy their own VMs on the same physical server with the victim’s VMs. In other words, one major portion of the attacker’s cost would be determined by the procedure of co-locating with the target. Service providers should have the capability to collect necessary information they need to profile a service subscriber. For stealth purposes, the attacker wants to reduce the possibility of being detected as much as possible.

We have the following assumptions specifically for profiling and classification of service subscribers: 1. Service provider has no knowledge about the attacker’s presence; 2. Attacker’s behavior pattern could be evolved and different in every time period; 3. Service provider can verify a limited amount of normal service subscriber (mark as legal); 4. Attacker has no way to compromise the data collected by the service provider for profiling purpose; and 5. Attacker cannot compromise the data processing components described in the paper.

The above assumptions ensure that our proposed defense mechanism works properly. Also, the attacks should not be common or standard events in the cloud. We assume that the major portion of data collected by service providers should belong to normal activities instead of attacks.

3.2 Patterns of The Co-Resident Attacks

To successfully accomplish co-resident attack, an attacker needs to achieve co-residency with the targets. Some previous work [21] focused the problem. A general procedure can be summarized as follows to launch co-resident attacks.

1) A large number of virtual machines are launched in the cloud.
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2) Check if any of attacker’s virtual machines is deployed on the same physical machine with the target.

3) In order to save the cost, turn off virtual machines which failed to co-locate with the target. This step is optional.

The above steps may be repeated several times by the attacker until co-residency is achieved. In contrast to the normal service subscriber, a large number of virtual machines have to be started by the attacker. To reduce the cost of attacks, virtual machines which failed to achieve co-residency will be short-lived. Otherwise, a high CPU utilization would be observed due to active long-lived virtual machines. In reality, the attacker might use a single subscriber account or multiple subscriber accounts to accomplish the attack. Nowadays, the Cloud service provider allows a service subscriber to start multiple VMs simultaneously in a single account. Thus, we cannot simply use the number of VMs owned by a subscriber to tell an attacker from legitimate service subscribers.

Note that the presence of attack can be rare in the real world, which means the major portion, if not all, of a dataset from a cloud, is from normal service subscribers. We believe that the behavior pattern of normal service subscribers should demonstrate some derivation from the above pattern of attacks. In other words, if service providers can successfully profile normal service subscribers, the attacker has to adjust their behavior pattern to match the normal pattern to reduce the chance being detected, which will increase the attacker’s cost accordingly.

3.3 Proposed Processing Framework

Fig. 1 demonstrates the diagram of our proposed framework to classify service subscribers and better quantify the Co-Resident Risk Rate. It contains five necessary components to generate a quantified co-resident Risk Rate and one optional component to make our framework more adaptive to the practical environment. In the figure, the Pre-Process component organizes raw data using the feature metrics determined by the service provider. More detailed explanation of feature metrics are in Section 4. A service provider can update the feature metrics based on their unique requirements. The Clustering component classifies service subscribers into different categories. As the outputs, candidate categories for subscribers are sent to Partial Labeling Component. The Partial Labeling component examines the candidate categories of subscribers and determines the labeling of each category. Some categories of subscribers may be dropped in this component they are not relevant to quantifying the risks. In the Classification component, a Deep Neural Network is trained to perform the classification task for incoming subscriber. Hyper-parameter tuning is performed to increase training efficiency. In the Quantified Risk Rate component, the risk level of incoming subscriber is evaluated based on the prediction of classification component and pre-defined quantify function. The Manual Verification is an optional component to make our processing structure more adaptive to the real world. The prediction of new subscriber data can be verified manually by the service provider and send it back to training data to make our proposed DNN model adapt to the latest environment.

4 DESIGN

In this section, we provide more detailed discussions and explanations of our design.
4.1 Feature Metrics to Profile Normal Service Subscriber

In our proposed framework, the feature metrics are used in the Pre-Process component to process collected raw data. As we mention earlier, service provider can define their own feature metrics according to their unique business requirement. In our experiments, we propose a six dimension feature metrics to profile service subscriber:

1) \( N \) - the total amount of VMs created and deployed by a specific service subscriber.
2) \( T \) - the average interval time between starting two VMs. Note that it is the time between starting the \( i \)th and the \((i+1)\)th VMs, rather than difference between the stopping \( i \)th and the \((i+1)\)th VMs.
3) \( M \) - the median memory size among VMs for a specific service subscriber.
4) \( A \) - the overall active rate for a specific service subscriber. Detail explanation would be explained by following section.
5) \( W \) - the average amount of active VM at each time stamp for a specific service subscriber.
6) \( I \) - the median of average CPU utilization rate among all VMs at each time stamp for a specific service subscriber.

Among these features, feature 1) to 4) are the overall analysis factors for each service subscriber. Feature 5) and 6) are detail features for a specific service subscriber. The reason we have two types features, because not only we want to know the whole picture of subscriber’s behavior, we also want to more accurately profile each subscriber’s behavior pattern and build the characteristic image with detail inside information.

\( M \) - Median Memory Size. For each service subscriber, the memory requested by the VM, \( M_j \), is recorded as \( M_i \). The feature \( M \) is calculated as:

\[
M = \text{median}(M_i).
\] (1)

We believe that the requested memory size could represent the tenant’s expectation of potential workload for the VM.

\( A \) - Overall Active Rate. In our experiment, for a subscriber, its VM, \( M_i \), at time stamp \( t_j \), to be considered as active if its CPU utilization is over 15. Assume at the time stamp \( i \), one subscriber has total \( NT_j \), alive VMs, where \( NA_i \), VMs are active. Then, the Overall Active Rate for the subscriber would be:

\[
A = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( NA_i / NT_i \right) \right) / n. \] (2)

\( W \) - Average Active VM Amount. Feature \( A \) presents the overall active rate among all timestamps. We need a feature to demonstrate the overall workload requested by the subscriber. Assume at the time stamp \( i \), one subscriber has a total \( NT_j \), VMs alive, where \( NA_i \), VMs are active. Then, the average active VM amount for the subscriber would be:

\[
W = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} NA_i \right) / n. \] (3)

\( I \) - Median of Average CPU Utilization. Features \( A \) and \( W \) present the activeness level for a subscriber, but they do not cover any difference of each VM even with the same activeness rate. We introduce feature \( I \) : median of Average CPU Utilization Rate in overall period per subscribers.

Assume at the time stamp \( i \), one subscriber has total \( NT_j \), VMs alive. For each VM, its CPU utilization is \( CPU_j \). Then, the median of average CPU Utilization Rate in all time period for the subscriber would be:

\[
C = \text{median} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{NT_i} CPU_j}{NT_j} \right). \] (4)

We use the median value rather than the mean value is because the median value is more robust with outliers.

Since we are taking a data-driven approach in our classification module, the effectiveness of features acts as the most dominant factor. Also, our proposed framework is general enough and can be used in different cloud service platforms. The service provider can easily adjust above feature metrics to meet their own business need.

4.2 Choice of Clustering Algorithm

In the clustering component, the service provider can choose a specific clustering algorithm per their own need. In our work, we test several clustering algorithms and chose DBSCAN in the end due to its robustness towards the outlier detection. There are two parameters in the DBSCAN algorithm, \( \varepsilon \) and \( \text{MinPts} \), where \( \varepsilon \) is the maximum distance between two neighbors, and \( \text{MinPts} \) is the minimum number of points in a cluster. Once \( \text{MinPts} \) is set, \( \varepsilon \) can be determined by drawing a k-distance graph (k=MinPts). In other words, \( \varepsilon \) can be considered as a function of \( \text{MinPts} \). \( \text{MinPts} \) should not be too small, otherwise, noise in the data will result in spurious clusters.

In our experiments, we use DBSCAN (\( \varepsilon=35 \), Minpts=10) to initially cluster subscribers into total 6 categories. Then we manually verify every category with the activeness level and partially label them into three major types: Inactive (Normal), Periodically Active, and Extremely Active. Generally speaking, most of original category 1 and 2 was put into Inactive type. Extremely Active type are most from original category 0 (outliers) and category 5. The labeled data will be used as the training data of the classification component.

4.3 Dynamically Quantify Co-Residency Risks

To better quantify the co-resident risk level, we combine classification and quantification in our proposed framework. Though the cloud service provider could collect any information they want and use them to detect malicious activities, the presence of malicious activity is a very rare case and majority of the collected data belongs to normal service subscriber, which makes the classification task very difficult, if not impossible. However, the rich information of the normal behavior enables the service subscriber to successfully profile normal tenant’s behavior patterns. The service provider is be able to determine a risk rate by measuring the derivation rate from the normal pattern. In order to do so, the service provider needs to build a non-
4.3.1 Deep Neural Network Architecture

Fig. 2 shows the Deep Neural Network model used in our experiments, which was implemented in Tensorflow framework. It consists of 9 layers. First, the fully connected layer has 64 nodes (or neurons). Afterward, three fully connected layers with 128 neurons and ReLU activation, followed with the dropout layer. The last layer is a 3-node softmax layer that returns an array of 3 probability scores that sum to 1. In our current experiment, during the feature abstraction procedure, detail active rate curve for each tenant was converted into a vector. Fully connected (FC) layer is designed to handle vector info efficiently. At the same time, even transfer training for new seen data can be finished offline, it might be important for some provider to enable online transfer training. The depth of model should be carefully determined. We applied five layers of FC layers here, but it can be adjusted by service provider to achieve the balance between the complexity of target model (Better detection accuracy) and acceptable online transfer training time for new seen data.

Dropout is a regularization technique that turns neurons on/off in each layer to force them to go through a different path. This operation improves the generalization of the network and prevents over-fitting. To reduce over-fitting, we use a dropout [44] layer after the second and third fully connected layers, since its effectiveness is proved in [45] and the dropout regularization works really well with the fully connected layers. Rectified linear unit (ReLU) is a type of activation function. It is simply defined as f(x) = max(0, x). ReLU usually works better in practice than other activation functions, such as sigmoid function. It is one of the most commonly used activation functions in the neural network.

The model is trained using back-propagation for Adam Optimizer [46], a stochastic gradient descent that automatically adapts the learning rate. The optimizer works on minimizing the loss function. We use the mean cross-entropy as a loss function in our experiment. The model is also trained with a batch setting, which is not reflected in the layers described above.

4.3.2 Hyper-Parameters Tuning

Hyper-Parameters tuning is always a very challenging problem in a deep learning task. Normally, the accuracy rate of classification tasks could be dramatically affected by the setting of hyper-parameters. In order to achieve the best classification accuracy, multiple methods were tested, such as random search [47], and grid search tested afterward. After carefully studies and experiments, we set up the hyper-parameters for training as follows. We set the Dropout rate to 0.5 in each dropout layer. Learning rate, another important parameter, determines how fast we move toward the optimal weights in our network. If it is too large, it will skip optimal values. On the other hand, if it is too small, it will take too much time to converge to the optimal values, and it may get stuck in local minima. Fortunately, Adam Optimizer provides a very detailed and flexible API to set up the initial rate and decay rate. We finish our training by setting the initial learning rate started as 1e-3 (0.001), beta1 as 0.9, beta2 as 0.999 and decay rate as 0.1.

4.3.3 Handling Imbalanced Data

The imbalance is common in the real world. Most classification data sets do not have an exactly equal number of instances in each class, while a small difference often does not matter. But when there is a modest class imbalance like 4:1 in the dataset or above, it can cause problems [48]. Sometimes imbalance is not just common, it is expected. For example, in datasets like those that characterize fraudulent transactions are imbalanced. The vast majority of the transactions will be in the Not-Fraud class and a very small minority will be in the Fraud class. We had the same situation in our own dataset, most of the subscribers should be legal and only very rare events could be caused by the malicious attacker.

There are several methods available for dealing with the imbalance situation: Change Performance Metrics Since we are dealing with an extremely imbalanced dataset, the accuracy rate is not enough to accurately evaluate the performance model. F-score Matrix [29] was applied in our experiment. It contains three major metrics as below [48]:

- Precision: the number of true positives divided by all positive predictions. Precision is also called Positive Predictive Value. It is a measure of a classifier’s exactness. Low precision indicates a high number of false positives.
- Recall: the number of true positives divided by the number of positive values in the test data. Recall is also called Sensitivity or the True Positive Rate. It is a measurement of classifier’s completeness. Low recall indicates a high number of false negatives.
- F-score: the weighted average of precision and recall

Oversample Minority Class. Oversampling can be defined as adding more copies of the minority class. In our experiment, we implement oversampling by constructing the batch with a solid number of periodically and extremely active types, which was randomly chosen each time.

4.3.4 Quantify the Co-Residency Risk

In our framework design, the quantification component takes the output from the classification component and applies it into a pre-defined quantify function. In our experiment, for simplicity, we use a softmax activation function in
the classification component and directly output the prediction of the probability of each category. The probability rate of the normal category will be used to calculate the co-resident risk. In other words, we believe it represents the derivation rate from normal behavior patterns.

5 EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

We conduct our experiments on a Dell Precision Tower T5810 Workstation. The Dell Workstation has an Intel Xeon E5-1620, 32G RAM, and Nvidia Quadro P5000 Graphic Card for GPU acceleration. GPU acceleration is applied in our Model Training procedure and it shortens the training time dramatically.

5.1 Insight of Azure Dataset

We use real-world large-scale dataset, Azure public Dataset, in our experiments. Azure Public Dataset [25] provides two portion of the Virtual Machine (VM) workloads of Microsoft Azure collected in 2019 and 2017. This dataset contains over 12,000 service subscribers with their over 5 million VMs and the corresponding 3.1 billion CPU utilization record sampled every five minutes over one month. The total size of the dataset is over 500GBs. To finish the statistical task for the proposed feature metrics, we divide the task into multiple portions of data in each temporary step. We summarize the observations as follows:

- **A - Overall Active Rate.** Fig. 3, shows the average CPU utilization distribution among VMs. The CPU utilization indicates the overall active rate for tall subscribers. We noticed that more than 90 percent VMs’ CPU utilization rate is under 15 percent, which means most of VMs stay under very low workload status.

- **W - Average Active VM Amount.** The cumulative distribution of average active VM amount per subscriber is shown in Fig. 5. Combine it with feature A, we could filter out the type of extremely active subscriber.

- **I - Median of Average CPU Utilization Rate.** Fig. 6 shows the cumulative distribution of CPU utilization per subscriber. Over 90 percent subscribers have been identified as inactive type in Fig. 8

Based on above feature metrics, we obtained a draft profile of the service subscribers. In the next section, we will continue to use our proposed feature metrics to cluster the subscribers.

5.2 Clustering of Subscribers

Azure Dataset contains over 12,000 service subscribers, therefore, we clustered them into several candidate groups for the future study.

5.2.1 Aspect From Activeness Rate

Based on observation of Fig. 7, around 65 percent subscribers create one VM, and 25 percent subscribers created less than five VMs in their lifetime.

Combined with the cumulative distribution of feature I, we could conclude that most service subscribers should only maintain a small amount VMs at inactive level.

To verify our conclusion, we did the active rate curve in all timestamps for all subscribers. According to our observations on all curve diagram, we define the subscribers as following categories based on the activeness rate:

- Inactive Subscriber: In Fig. 8, the very top section is a typical curve for the inactive type subscriber we defined, and over 80 percent of subscribers fall into this category. In this category, a very small amount...
of VMs was created by the subscriber, and most of the time the VM stay inactive.

- Periodically active subscriber: The middle portion of Fig. 8 is a typical curve for the Periodically active subscriber we defined. Multiple VMs are created by the subscriber and the activeness level is shifted dramatically over the subscriber’s whole lifetime.

- Extremely active subscriber: The typical curve for this type of subscriber is located at the bottom of Fig. 8. This type of subscriber maintains an extremely high activeness rate over their whole lifetime.

We performed multi-step testing in our experiment. Initially, we use DBSCAN to cluster subscribers. And then, we tested the MinPts ranging from 5 to 50 since we believe most data should come from the normal service subscriber. We expect that there would have at least one clustering output contains a major portion of the subscribers. After careful testing and studies, we set the MinPts to 10 and ε to 35 in our experiment. In the further step, we manually verify all user’s activeness level curves among the whole lifetime. Three major categories were separated, shown in Fig. 8. Thus, if the attacker tries to pretend a normal user, the cost will be high. We demonstrated the coefficient relationship between extremely active subscribers and others in Fig. 9.

We demonstrated our clustering results in Figs. 10 and 11. Most of the service subscribers were clustered into one category, which fits to our expectations. More important, 78 subscribers were identified as the outlier. By checking with their detail active rate curve, we believe their behavior pattern would be the closest to potential high-risk ones.

5.3 Training and Evaluating Deep Neural Network
After we initially clustered service subscribers, we manually labeled them into three major categories: normal subscriber, periodically active subscriber, and extremely active subscriber, shown in Table 1:

| Oversampling Minority Class | 78 subscribers are located into extremely active category, and only 379 subscribers are located into periodically active category. Comparing with a total of 11573 normal subscriber, we are facing an extremely imbalanced dataset. We divided the whole dataset into two parts: Training set (90 percent) and Test set (10 percent). For each training session of our model, we did 100 batches in each epoch and we finished a total of 100 epochs. To handle this imbalance, we have to oversample these two minor classes. We kept choosing 300 unrepeated from the normal type, 100 from periodically active type, and 30 from extremely active type, shuffling them to form a batch of the training set. The training set will be used in one step. Since all training tasks were finished off-line, the inference procedure for new subscriber data only needs few seconds to generate the final prediction.

We reserved 10 percent of total service subscribers’ data for test purposes. The data is new and it has never been used to optimize our model in the training procedure. We only recorded the checkpoint when the accuracy rate of the test dataset has been improved. We noticed the loss value was shifted several times in our experiment in Fig. 12. Fig. 13 display the accuracy rate of the test dataset.

Even we tried to use dropout to avoid model overfitting issue, we still could observe that when training accuracy keeps rising from 94 to 96 percent, the accuracy rate for test set actually declined from 97.9 to 95 percent. Considering
an extreme imbalanced dataset was processed here, we need to use several other performance metrics to further evaluate our model.

When the accuracy rate is above 98 percent, we calculated out all the recall, precision and F-score for all three categories as in Table 2:

The test dataset only contains eight extremely active subscribers, which we believe should be able to simulate the practical environment since in the real world, the appearance of an extremely active pattern would be a very rare case. This extreme imbalance also existed in the training dataset. Table 2 demonstrates the best F-score result for test dataset with total 97.8 percent accuracy rate for test.
According to the F-score matrix from Fig. 14, the performance of classification component on extremely active pattern was affected by the extreme data imbalance in our experiment. There are two possible explanations for this issue. First, even we applied multiple methods to handle the imbalanced training dataset, such as oversampling the minority category, the training of our model was still under noticeable affection of data imbalance. In the meanwhile, this is the major defect of the neural network, it has its limitation to handle unseen data. Another possible reason is the initial clustering was not accurate enough. Since there are only 78 service subscribers were labeled into the extremely active category, it is possible that too much detailed feature information was lost during the abstraction procedure of calculating feature metrics. To fix this issue, we proposed to construct a Convolutional Neural Network sub-module for handling the detail active rate curve per each subscriber. In that case, this manual label procedure would be replaced by an automatic component, and a lot of improvement could be achieved in our future work. Also on the other hand, as we discussed above, this issue is expected. Since we only used the probability of inactive type in the reference procedure to calculate the risk level, which will not be affected by this issue. At the same time, our feature metrics can be used as good baseline to monitor tenant’s online behavior. Although the sample time window is five minutes in Azure dataset, it is too long to the target of monitoring individual VM. Considering the trade of between expense added and more detailed sample info, this is will be tough choice to service provider. Thus we plan to extend our work to monitor tenant’s behavior, which we believe it is feasible and much more reliable with current dataset. In our future work, we will construct one sub-module to analyze the real-time activeness level for individual tenant.

### 6 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we proposed a comprehensive framework, using deep learning, to quantify the co-residency risks in a cloud. We mainly focused on the Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) type of cloud services. Our work is based on Microsoft Azure virtual machine tracing dataset that is a large scale and real-world dataset. In this paper, we proposed a dynamic adaptive framework to better quantify the co-residency risks. A set of feature metrics was proposed to accurately profile the behavior patterns of normal service subscribers in the cloud. Based on the analysis of Azure dataset, we used DBSCAN algorithm to cluster into several groups of service subscribers and manually labeled them into three major categories: Inactive, Periodically Active, and Extremely Active. The results are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodically Active</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Active</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>F-Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodically Active</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Active</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this paper, we proposed a comprehensive framework, using deep learning, to quantify the co-residency risks in a cloud. We mainly focused on the Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) type of cloud services. Our work is based on Microsoft Azure virtual machine tracing dataset that is a large scale and real-world dataset. In this paper, we proposed a dynamic adaptive framework to better quantify the co-residency risks. A set of feature metrics was proposed to accurately profile the behavior patterns of normal service subscribers in the cloud. Based on the analysis of Azure dataset, we used DBSCAN algorithm to cluster into several groups of service subscribers and manually labeled them into three major categories: Inactive, Periodically Active, and Extremely Active. The results are shown in Table 1.
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<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodically Active</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Active</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>F-Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodically Active</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Active</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With the labeled datasets, a classification component was trained to identify new datasets and the output was used in the quantification component to quantify the co-residency risk rate. Based on our experimental results, our classification component demonstrated robustness to new data. It also achieved an accuracy of 98 percent for test dataset and its performance was verified by examination the F-score Matrix.

In our future work, we will extend our approach in two directions. First of all, as we mentioned above, detailed information about the service subscriber were lost during the data abstract procedure. Also, we observed that extremely active pattern is a very rare event in all datasets, and this extreme imbalance highly affects the classification task. By analyzing the F-score matrix, there is still a lot of space for improvements to more accurately identify those rare events. To conquer the challenge, we propose to build another sub-module in the classification component to handle the detail feature diagram directly. In this way, we can more accurately profile normal subscriber’s behavior patterns. Benifited from the improvement, we will be able to achieve a better classification at the same time. Second, our framework was trained and tested in one particular dataset. The general compatibility of our proposed framework may be questioned by a new dataset. With the newly collected dataset, a well-trained classification component should be able to adapt to a new working environment. With appropriate transfer training, we could extend applying our framework to test the general adaption capability. There is also another possible research direction, if we can successfully profile normal subscriber pattern, it can be used to predict specific subscriber’s future workload request or detection of abnormal appearance.
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